	Case 2:19-cv-00219-SAB ECF No. 16	filed 12/04/19	PageID.146	Page 1 of 13				
1	WILLIAM D. HYSLOP							
2	United States Attorney (EDWA)							
3	Vanessa R. Waldref John T. Drake							
4	Assistant United States Attorneys Post Office Box 1494							
5	Spokane, WA 99210-1494							
6	Telephone: (509) 353-2767							
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON							
8								
9	ANDRES SOSA SEGURA,	Case No. 2	2:19-CV-002	19-SAB				
10	Plaintiff,	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR		AINT FOR				
11 12	v.	DAMAGES						
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,							
14	Defendant.							
15	Derendant.							
16	Defendant, United States of America, by and through William D. Hyslop,			D. Hyslon.				
17								
18	United States Attorney, and John T. Drake	e and Vanessa	R. Waldref,	Assistant United				
19	States Attorneys, hereby respond to the allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint for			plaint for				
20	Damages ("Complaint") (ECF No. 1).							
21								
22	INTRODUCTION							
23	1. Deny.							
24	2. Defendant is without sufficie	ent information	to admit or c	leny the				
25 26	allegations in the first and second sentences of this paragraph, and therefore denies the			erefore denies the				
20	same. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.							
28	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 1 Case No. 2:19-CV-00219-SAB							

Defendant denies the allegations in the first sentence of this paragraph.
 With regard to the second sentence, Defendant admits that Plaintiff showed one of the
 CBP agents a "Know Your Rights" card, but denies that Plaintiff did so in the manner
 and temporal sequence alleged; Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this
 sentence. The third sentence in this paragraph appears to reference the document
 attached to the Complaint as Exhibit C, which document speaks for itself.

9
4. Defendant denies the allegations in the first sentence of this paragraph.
10
With regard to the second sentence, Defendant admits that Plaintiff told the agents he
11
12
13
alleged; Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this sentence. Defendant
14
denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

5. Deny.

15

16

27

6. With regard to the first sentence of this paragraph, Defendant denies that 17 18 Plaintiff was ordered to provide identification; Defendant admits that Plaintiff showed 19 the agents a Washington driver's license, but denies that this event occurred in the 20 temporal sequence alleged. With regard to the second sentence, Defendant admits that 21 22 the agents took possession of Plaintiff's bag, but denies that this event occurred in the 23 temporal sequence alleged. With regard to the third sentence, Defendant admits that 24 the agents transported Plaintiff to a federal facility, but denies that this event occurred 25 26

in the temporal sequence alleged; Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this sentence. Defendant denies the allegations in the fourth sentence of this paragraph.

7. Deny.

8. Defendant admits that Plaintiff was not free to leave the federal facility for a portion of the time that he was present there. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

9. Defendant denies the allegations in the first sentence of this paragraph
due to the inaccurate sequence in which they are presented. Defendant is without
sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in the second sentence of this
paragraph, and therefore denies the same.

10. Deny.

11. Defendant admits that Plaintiff has brought this action under the Federal Tort Claims Act. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this sentence.

JURISDICTION

12. Defendant admits that Plaintiff's claims arise under the Constitution and laws of the United States, including the FTCA. Defendant denies that subject matter jurisdiction has been properly established as to one or more of Plaintiff's claims.

EXHAUSTION

13. Admit.

28 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 3 Case No. 2:19-CV-00219-SAB

14. Defendant admits the first sentence of this paragraph. Defendant denies 1 2 that Plaintiff exhausted administrative remedies as to all of the claims asserted in the 3 Complaint. 4 VENUE 5 6 15. Defendant admits that venue is proper in this District. 7 PARTIES 8 16. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the 9 10 allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same. 11 17. Defendant admits that FTCA claims can only be asserted against the 12 United States of America. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph to the 13 14 extent they imply that the United States is a proper defendant to the FTCA claims 15 asserted in this action. 16 FACTS 17 18 18. Admit. 19 Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the 19. 20 allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same. 21 22 20. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph to the extent they 23 imply that Plaintiff was unlawfully detained or restrained. Defendant is without 24 sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in this paragraph concerning 25 26 Greyhound bus routes, and therefore denies the same. Defendant admits that the 27 28 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 4

Case No. 2:19-CV-00219-SAB

Intermodal Center is approximately 107 miles south of the Canadian border by 1 highway; Defendant avers, however, that the distance is less than 100 air miles. 2 3 Defendant admits that Plaintiff traveled to the Intermodal Center by bus 21. 4 on July 24, 2017. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph. 5 6 22. Deny. 7 23. Defendant admits the first sentence in this paragraph. Defendant is 8 without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in the second sentence 9 10 of this paragraph, and therefore denies the same. Defendant denies the allegations in 11 the third sentence of this paragraph. 12 24. Deny. 13 14 25. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the 15 allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same. 16 Admit. 26. 17 18 27. Deny. 19 28. Deny. 20 29. Deny. 21 22 The allegations in this paragraph are Plaintiff's characterization of his 30. 23 state of mind and do not require a response. To the extent a response is required, 24 Defendant denies the allegations. 25 26 27 28 **ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 5** Case No. 2:19-CV-00219-SAB

31. Defendant denies the allegations in the first sentence of this paragraph. 1 2 With regard to the second sentence, Defendant admits that Plaintiff presented one of 3 the CBP agents with a "Know Your Rights" card during his interaction with them, but 4 denies that this event occurred in the temporal sequence alleged; Defendant is without 5 6 sufficient information to admit or deny the remaining allegations in this sentence, and 7 therefore denies the same. 8 The "Know Your Rights" card speaks for itself. To the extent a response 32. 9 10 is required, Defendant denies Plaintiff's characterization of the card. 11 33. Deny. 12 34. Deny. 13 14 35. Deny. 15 Defendant admits that the CBP agents directed Plaintiff to accompany 36. 16 them to the parking lot, but denies that this event occurred in the temporal sequence 17 18 alleged. 19 37. The allegations in this paragraph are Plaintiff's characterization of his 20 state of mind and do not require a response. To the extent a response is required, 21 22 Defendant denies the allegations. 23 38. Deny. 24 39. With regard to the first sentence of this paragraph, Defendant admits that 25 26 Plaintiff told the agents he was from Mexico, but denies that this statement was made 27 28 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 6 Case No. 2:19-CV-00219-SAB

in the temporal sequence alleged; Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this sentence. Defendant denies the allegations in the second and third sentences of this paragraph.

40. Deny.

41. Defendant denies the allegations in the first sentence of this paragraph.With regard to the second sentence, Defendant admits that Plaintiff showed the agentsa Washington driver's license, but denies that this event occurred in the temporalsequence alleged.

42. Defendant admits that the agents took possession of Plaintiff's bag and placed Plaintiff in the back of a vehicle, but denies that those events occurred in the temporal sequence alleged. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

43. Admit.

44. Defendant admits that the agents fingerprinted Plaintiff at the federal facility. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

45. Deny.

46. Defendant admits that the agents verified that Plaintiff had been released from immigration detention on bond. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

28 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 7 Case No. 2:19-CV-00219-SAB Н

1	47.	Defendant admits that Plaintiff was permitted to call his wife, but denies	
2	that this ev	ent occurred in the temporal sequence alleged. Defendant denies the	
3 4	remaining allegations in this paragraph.		
5	48.	Defendant admits that Plaintiff was given a ride back to the Spokane	
6	Intermodal Center. Defendant denies the allegations in this paragraph to the extent		
7 8	they imply that Plaintiff was in custody or otherwise detained during the return trip.		
9	49.	Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the	
10	allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same.		
11	50.	Deny.	
12 13	51.	Deny.	
14		FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
15		Federal Tort Claims Act – False Arrest	
16	52.	Deny.	
17		-	
18	53.	This paragraph contains a statement of law to which no response is	
19 20	required. 7	To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies.	
20	54.	Deny.	
22	55.	Deny.	
23	56.	Deny.	
24			
25	57.	Deny.	
26			
27			
28	ANSWER Case No. 2	TO COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 8 :19-CV-00219-SAB	

	Case 2:19-cv-00219-SAB ECF No. 16 filed 12/04/19 PageID.154 Page 9 of 13				
1	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF				
1 2					
2	Federal Tort Claims Act – False Imprisonment				
4	58. Deny.				
5	59. This paragraph contains a statement of law to which no response is				
6	required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies.				
7	60. Deny.				
8					
9	61. Deny.				
10	62. Deny.				
11	63. Deny.				
12	64. Deny.				
13 14	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF				
15	<u>THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u>				
16	Federal Tort Claims Act – State Civil Rights Tort				
17	65. Deny.				
18	66. This paragraph contains a statement of law to which no response is				
19	required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies.				
20					
21	67. This paragraph contains a statement of law to which no response is				
22	required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies.				
23 24	68. This paragraph contains a statement of law to which no response is				
24	required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies.				
26					
27					
28	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 9 Case No. 2:19-CV-00219-SAB				

69. This paragraph contains a statement of law to which no response is 1 required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies. 2 3 70. This paragraph contains a statement of law to which no response is 4 required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies. 5 6 71. Deny. 7 72. Deny. 8 73. Deny. 9 10 74. Deny. 11 75. Deny. 12 76. Deny. 13 14 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF** 15 The remaining paragraphs of the Complaint, labeled (a) through (e), are 16 requests for relief to which no response is required. To the extent a response may 17 18 be required, Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested or any 19 other relief. 20 **DEFENDANT'S AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES** 21 22 1. The Complaint fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted. 23 2. The District Court lacks jurisdiction over one or more of Plaintiff's 24 claims. 25 26 27 28 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 10 Case No. 2:19-CV-00219-SAB

1	3.	Plaintiff failed to properly exhaust administrative remedies as to one or	
2	more of his claims. 28 U.S.C. § 2675(a).		
3 4	4.	One or more of Plaintiff's claims is barred by the FTCA's law	
5	enforcement proviso, 28 U.S.C. § 2680(h).		
6	5.	One or more of Plaintiff's claims is barred by the FTCA's discretionary	
7 8	function exception, 28 U.S.C. § 2680(a).		
9	6.	Defendant is absolutely immune and/or qualifiedly immune on one or	
10	more of Plaintiff's claims.		
11 12	7.	One or more of Plaintiff's claims is preempted under the Supremacy	
13	Clause of the U.S. Constitution.		
14	8.	If Plaintiff was injured as alleged, his injuries were proximately caused	
15 16	by his own acts or omissions.		
17	9.	If Plaintiff was injured as alleged, his injuries were proximately caused	
18	by the acts or omissions of independent third parties.		
19 20	10.	Plaintiff failed to mitigate his alleged damages.	
20	11.	Plaintiff's request for unspecified amount of compensatory damages is	
22	restricted to	damages allowed under the FTCA.	
23 24	12.	Plaintiff's request for an award of attorneys' fees is precluded by the	
25	FTCA. See	, <i>e.g.</i> , 28 U.S.C. § 2675(b) and 2678.	
26			
27			
28	ANSWER 7 Case No. 2:	TO COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 11 19-CV-00219-SAB	

To the extent Plaintiff's Complaint requests equitable relief, equitable 13. 1 relief is not an available remedy under the FTCA. 2 3 14. Although Defendant presently lacks a factual basis for asserting such a 4 defense, Defendant puts Plaintiff on notice that it intends to investigate whether one 5 or more claims are barred by Plaintiff's failure to comply with conditions of pretrial 6 7 release and/or post-conviction supervision (*e.g.*, a condition requiring Plaintiff to 8 answer questions posed by law enforcement). 9 10 15. Defendant reserves the right to amend this Answer and to assert 11 additional defenses as this action proceeds. 12 WHEREFORE, having fully answered the Complaint, Defendant respectfully 13 14 requests that the Court: 15 A. Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint with prejudice; 16 B. Enter judgment against Plaintiff and for Defendant. 17 18 C. Award Defendant its costs in this action, and 19 D. Award Defendant such other relief as this Court deems just and equitable. 20 DATED this 4th day of December, 2019. 21 22 WILLIAM D. HYSLOP United States Attorney 23 /s/John T. Drake 24 John T. Drake, AUSA Vanessa R. Waldref, AUSA 25 Attorneys for the United States 26 27 28 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 12 Case No. 2:19-CV-00219-SAB

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 4, 2019, I caused to be delivered via the

method listed below the document to which this Certificate of Service is attached

(plus any exhibits and/or attachments) to the following:

6	Name & Address	Method of Delivery	
7			
8	Kenneth E. Payson Jaime Drozd Allen	\boxtimes CM/ECF System	
9	Jennifer K. Chung	Electronic Mail	
-	Sara A. Fairchild	\Box U.S. Mail	
10	Davis Wright Tremaine, LLP	□ Other:	
11	920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300		
12	Seattle, WA 98104		
	Lisa Nowlin	CM/ECF System	
13	American Civil Liberties Union of	□ Electronic Mail	
14	Washington Foundation 901 5 th Ave., Suite 630	\Box U.S. Mail	
15	Seattle, WA 98164	□ Other:	
16	Matt Adams	⊠ CM/ECF System	
17	Leila Kang Aaron Korthuis	Electronic Mail	
18	Northwest Immigrant Rights Project	□ U.S. Mail	
19	$615 2^{nd}$ Ave., Suite 400	□ Other:	
	Seattle, WA 98104		
20			
21		/s/John T. Drake	
22		John T. Drake	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 13 Case No. 2:19-CV-00219-SAB		