## Tutorial 4: Power and Sample Size for the Two-sample t-test with Unequal Variances

## Preface

Power is the probability that a study will reject the null hypothesis. The estimated probability is a function of sample size, variability, level of significance, and the difference between the null and alternative hypotheses. Similarly, the sample size required to ensure a pre-specified power for a hypothesis test depends on variability, level of significance, and the null vs. alternative difference. In order to understand our terms, we will review these key components of hypothesis testing before embarking on a numeric example of power and sample size estimation for the two independent-sample case with unequal variances.

## **Overview of Power Analysis and Sample Size Estimation**

A *hypothesis* is a claim or statement about one or more population parameters, e.g. a mean or a proportion. A *hypothesis test* is a statistical method of using data to quantify evidence in order to reach a decision about a hypothesis. We begin by stating a *null hypothesis*,  $H_0$ , a claim about a population parameter, for example, the mean; we initially assume the null hypothesis to be true.  $H_0$  is where we place the burden of proof for the data; it is usually what an investigator hopes to *disprove* if the evidence in the data is strong enough. In the two-sample means case the goal is to determine if the two samples have the same average. So, for a two-sample test of the mean, the null hypothesis  $H_0$  is a simple statement about the value the difference in the means is expected to have.

As an example, let us say that a randomized two-arm trial in post-surgery/ radiation head and neck cancer patients is planned, with a 2:1 ratio of patients on resveratrol (an antioxidant found in the skins of grapes and other fruits) relative to patients on placebo. Ki-67 is measured in tumors after surgery and before the administration of either resveratrol or placebo. Measurements are obtained from biopsies after 6 months on resveratrol or placebo. The primary endpoint is change in Ki-67, a measure of cell proliferation (% of cells staining for Ki-67). The goal is to compare mean change in Ki-67 after resveratrol vs. after placebo, i.e.  $\mu_{\Delta Ki-67, resveratrol}$  vs.  $\mu_{\Delta Ki-67, placebo}$ . The null hypothesis is that there is no difference in mean change in Ki-67, i.e. H<sub>0</sub>:  $\mu_{\Delta Ki-67, resveratrol}$ -  $\mu_{\Delta Ki-67, placebo} = 0$ %. An opposing, or alternative hypothesis H<sub>1</sub> is then stated, contradicting H<sub>0</sub>, e.g. H<sub>1</sub>:  $\mu_{\Delta Ki-67, resveratrol} - \mu_{\Delta Ki-67, placebo} \neq 0$ %, H<sub>1</sub>:  $\mu_{\Delta Ki-67, resveratrol} - \mu_{\Delta Ki-67, resveratrol} = 0$ %.

 $\mu_{DACEDO}$  < 0%, or H<sub>1</sub>:  $\mu_{\Delta Ki-67, resveratrol}$  -  $\mu_{\Delta Ki-67, placebo}$  > 0%. If the mean changes from the two samples are sufficiently different a decision is made to *reject the null* in favor of the

specific alternative hypothesis. If the sample mean change difference is close to zero, the null hypothesis cannot be rejected, but neither can a claim be made that the hypothesis is unequivocally true.

Because of sampling there is inherent uncertainty in the conclusion drawn from a hypothesis test. Either a correct or an incorrect decision will be made, and the goal is to minimize the chances of making an incorrect one. The probability of rejecting a true null hypothesis, called Type I Error, is denoted by  $\alpha$ . The probability of failing to rejecting a false null hypothesis, called Type II Error, is denoted by  $\beta$ . The possible outcomes of a hypothesis test are summarized in the table below along with the conditional probabilities of their occurrence.

	State of Nature	
Hypothesis Testing Decision	H <sub>o</sub> True	H₁ True
	True negative	False negative
Fail to reject H <sub>0</sub>	A correct decision	A Type II Error
	Prob(True negative) = 1-α	Prob(False negative) = β
	False positive	True Positive
Reject H <sub>0</sub>	A Type I Error	A correct decision
	Prob(False positive) = $\alpha$	Prob(True positive) = 1-β

A Type I error occurs when  $H_0$  is incorrectly rejected in favor of the alternative (i.e.  $H_0$  is true). A Type II error occurs when  $H_0$  is not rejected but should have been (i.e.  $H_1$  is true). To keep the chances of making a correct decision high, the probability of a Type I error ( $\alpha$ , the level of significance of a hypothesis test) is kept low, usually 0.05 or less, and the power of the test (1- $\beta$ , the probability of rejecting  $H_0$  when  $H_1$  is true) is kept high, usually 0.8 or more. When  $H_0$  is true, the power of the test is equal to the level of significance. For a fixed sample size, the probability of making a Type I error is inversely related to the probability of making a Type I error. Thus, in order to achieve a

desirable power for a fixed level of significance, the sample size will generally need to increase.

Other factors that impact power and sample size determinations for a two-independent sample hypothesis test include variability as summarized by  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$ , where  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are the standard deviations in the two populations; and the difference between the population means under the null hypothesis and under a specific alternative, e.g.  $\mu_{\Delta Ki-67, \text{ resveratrol}} - \mu_{\Delta Ki-67, \text{ placebo}} = 20\%$ . In practice,  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$  can be difficult to specify. Previous work reported in the literature is a good source of information about variability, but sometimes a pilot study needs to be carried out in order to obtain a reasonable estimate. Specifying the magnitude of the difference you wish to detect between the null and alternative hypothesis varies by consideration of subject matter. The importance of a difference varies by discipline and context.

The following summarizes the interrelationships of power, sample size, level of significance, variability and detectable difference:

To increase power,  $1-\beta$ :

- Increase: Required Sample Size, n; Detectable Difference, between the mean under H<sub>0</sub> and H<sub>1</sub>, |μ<sub>0</sub> – μ<sub>1</sub>|; Level of significance, α;
- Decrease: Variability,  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$

To reduce required sample size,  $n = n_1 + n_2$  -

- Increase: Detectable Difference, between the mean under H<sub>0</sub> and H<sub>1</sub>,  $|\mu_0 \mu_1|$ ; Level of significance,  $\alpha$
- Decrease: Variability,  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$ ; power, 1- $\beta$

An additional consideration for power analysis and sample size estimation is the direction of the alternative hypothesis. A one-sided alternative hypothesis, e.g. H<sub>1</sub>:  $\mu_{\Delta Ki-67, \text{ placebo}} > 0\%$ , leads to greater achievable power than a two-sided alternative for a fixed sample size, or a lower required sample size for a fixed power. The use of one-sided tests is controversial and should be well justified based on subject matter and context.

## Uncertainty in specifications of mean difference, variability

For a discussion of the role of uncertainty regarding inputs to power analysis and sample size estimation, refer to the tutorial in this series on *Uncertainty in Power and Sample Size Estimation*.

## **Content A: Features and Assumptions**

Study design description: Ki-67 and resveratrol example

In the Ki-67 example, the inference relates to the difference in mean change in Ki-67 between two populations. The outcome variable for each sample is continuous (change in % staining) with an unknown, but finite variance of the change in each population,  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$ . Since we base the % staining on a large number of tumor cells, we may reasonably assume a normal distribution value for that Ki-67 value. As linear functions of normally distributed quantities are also normally distributed, the change in Ki-67 from before treatment to after treatment is normally distributed. Finally, the *a priori* stated hypothesis regards the mean difference in change of Ki-67 and whether the mean difference is 0%. These are the features that make the example suitable for a two-sample t-test.

In carrying out a two-sample t-test we make the assumption that the individual change values are randomly sampled from one of two well-characterized populations and that the observations within a sample are independent of each other, i.e. that there is no clustering between subjects or units of observation. In most cases, we can easily verify this assumption. As noted above, we assume an approximately normal underlying distribution of change in Ki-67 with resveratrol or placebo. Finally, we make no assumptions about the equality of  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$ .

# Two-sample t-test: inference about difference in means $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ when the variances $\sigma_1^2$ and $\sigma_2^2$ are unknown and unequal

To test the hypothesis about the difference in mean change in Ki-67, the standardized value of the difference between sample mean changes,  $\overline{X}_{\Delta Ki-67,resveratrol} - \overline{X}_{\Delta Ki-67,placebo}$ , becomes the test statistic:

$$t = \frac{\overline{X}_{\Delta Ki-67, resveratrol} - \overline{X}_{\Delta Ki-67, placebo} - \mu_{diff_0}}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

where  $\mu_{diff_0}$  is the difference in mean change under H<sub>0</sub>, s<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup> and s<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup> are the two sample variances, and n<sub>1</sub> and n<sub>2</sub> are the sample sizes for the two groups. When the variances are not equal the stated t-statistic does not follow a t distribution with n<sub>1</sub> + n<sub>2</sub> – 2 df. This issue is known as the Behrens-Fisher problem and various approximate solutions

GLIMMPSE Tutorial: Two-sample t-test with Unequal Variances

have been proposed. The most widely used of these approximations is known as the Satterthwaite approximation or as Welch's t-test. Instead of following the usual t distribution based on assuming equal variances, the *t* value is assumed to follow a t

 $\frac{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1}\right)^2 + \frac{\left(\frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1}\right)^2 + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}$ 

distribution with v degrees of freedom: v = -

To test the hypothesis H<sub>0</sub>:  $\mu_{\Delta Ki-67, resveratrol}$  -  $\mu_{\Delta Ki-67, placebo}$  = 0% vs. H<sub>1</sub>:  $\mu_{\Delta Ki-67, resveratrol}$  -  $\mu_{\Delta Ki-67, placebo}$  ≠ 0%, we reject H<sub>0</sub> if:

$$\begin{split} \bar{X}_{\Delta Ki-67,resveratrol} &- \bar{X}_{\Delta Ki-67,placebo} > 0\% + t_{v,1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}} \quad or \\ \bar{X}_{\Delta Ki-67,resveratrol} &- \bar{X}_{\Delta Ki-67,placebo} < 0\% - t_{v,1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}} \end{split}$$

where  $t_{v,1-\alpha/2}$  is the (1- $\alpha/2$ ) x 100th percentile of the t distribution with *v* degrees of freedom as defined above.

## The two-sample t-test as a <u>General Linear Model (GLM)</u>

A more general characterization of the two-sample t-test can be made using the general linear model (GLM),  $Y = X \beta + \varepsilon$ . For the test of the mean change in Ki-67, Y is an  $(n_1 + n_2) \times 1$  matrix of Ki-67 change values, X is an  $(n_1 + n_2) \times 2$  matrix of 1s and 0s,  $\beta$  is a 1 x 2 matrix of the unknown regression coefficients,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  – in this case the mean changes in Ki-67 in the resveratrol and placebo groups, and  $\varepsilon$  is an  $(n_1 + n_2) \times 1$  matrix that represents the random deviation of a single Ki-67 change value from its group mean change. The Y and X matrices are shown below:

$Y_\Delta$	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>
		_
Y <sub>1,1Pre</sub> -Y <sub>1,1Post</sub>	1	0
Y <sub>1,2Pre</sub> -Y <sub>1,2Post</sub>	1	0
	=	
-	-	
Y <sub>1,n1Pre</sub> -Y <sub>1,n1Post</sub>	1	0
Y <sub>2,1Pre</sub> -Y <sub>2,1Post</sub>	0	1
Y <sub>2,2Pre</sub> -Y <sub>2,2Post</sub>	0	1
•		
•		•
Y <sub>2,n2Pre</sub> -Y <sub>2,n2Post</sub>	0	1

The assumptions of the GLM are:

- **Existence**: For any fixed value of the variable *X*, *Y* is a random variable with a certain probability distribution having finite mean and variance.
- Independence: The Y-values are statistically independent of one another.
- Linearity: The mean value of Y is a straight-line function of X.
- **Homoscedasticity (equal variances)**: The variance of Y is the same for any value of X. That is,

$$\sigma_{Y|X}^2 = \sigma_{Y|X=1}^2 = \sigma_{Y|X=2}^2 = \dots = \sigma_{Y|X=x}^2$$

For the test presented here, we relax the assumption to allow unequal variances between the two groups.

• Normal Distribution: For any fixed value of *X*, *Y* has a normal distribution. Note this assumption does *not* claim normal distribution for *Y*.

We obtain the estimate of  $\beta$ ,  $\hat{\beta}$  (or  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_2$ ), using the method of least squares. To test the hypothesis H<sub>0</sub>:  $\mu_{\Delta \text{Ki-67, resveratrol}} - \mu_{\Delta \text{Ki-67, placebo}} = 0\%$ , we compare  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_2$  by contrasting the elements of  $\hat{\beta}$  :  $t = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2)}{\sqrt{s_{\hat{\beta}_1}^2 + s_{\hat{\beta}_1}^2 - 2 \operatorname{cov}(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2)}} \sim t_\nu$ , where  $s_{\hat{\beta}_1}^2$  and  $s_{\hat{\beta}_2}^2$  are the

estimated variances of  $\hat{\beta}_1$  and  $\hat{\beta}_2$ , respectively, cov  $(\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2)$  is the covariance between them, and *v* are the Satterthwaite approximation degrees of freedom. The approximation by Kenward and Rogers can also be applied for this purpose (see SAS

GLIMMPSE Tutorial: Two-sample t-test with Unequal Variances

PROC MIXED and PROC GLIMMIX for examples) and is the preferred approximation method for means estimated from longitudinal and multilevel data (Muller et al., 2007).

## B.1 Inputs for Power analysis

A power analysis for the difference in mean change consists of determining the achievable power for a specified: difference between means under the stated H<sub>0</sub> and under the stated  $H_1$ , sample size, standard deviation of the difference, and  $\alpha$ -level. By varying these four quantities a set of power curves can be obtained that show the tradeoffs.

Information about mean change values and variability of change can be obtained from the published literature. Sample size can be varied over a feasible range of values, and various values of  $\alpha$  can be selected to illustrate the sensitivity of the results to conservative vs. liberal choices for the Type I error rate.

For the change in Ki-67 expected with resveratrol and placebo, we look to a study examining change in Ki67. A standard deviation of 14% for change in Ki67 with resveratrol was reported.<sup>1</sup> While we can use this estimate for both the resveratrol and the placebo groups in order to estimate power, we will continue to assume that the variances are not necessarily equal in reality.

Even when using information from large studies in the literature, it we cannot assume that the means and standard deviations are known quantities. These values are estimates, and as such lead to uncertainty in estimated power. For further discussion of uncertainty refer to Tutorials 0: Uncertainty in Power and Sample Size Estimation.

## Specification of the difference between means for change, $\mu_{\Lambda 0}$ - $\mu_{\Lambda 1}$

As noted above, subject matter considerations dictate the choice of a value for the difference between the null and alternative mean change. The goal is to specify the

specific  $\rho$  value, the standard deviation of change is obtained as:  $s_{\Delta} = \sqrt{s_{pre}^2 + s_{post}^2 - 2\rho s_{pre} s_{post}^2}$ , where s<sup>2</sup> and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It should be noted that in some publications, standard error values are reported. Standard errors refer to the precision of a mean, not to the variability in a single sample. To convert a standard error to a standard deviation, multiply the standard error by the square root of the sample size. Often separate standard deviations of the pre- and post- measures are reported instead of the standard deviation of the change. In this case, assumptions must be made about the correlation, p, between the pre- and post- measures in order to obtain an estimate for the standard deviation of change. A value of 0 for p means the pre- and post- measures are uncorrelated while a value of 1 means they are perfectly correlated. Low values of p would lead to conservative power and sample size estimates while large values of p would lead to liberal ones. For a

s are the sample variance and standard deviation, respectively. Rarely is the variance reported in a publication. If it is, the standard deviation is obtained as the square root of the variance. GLIMMPSE Tutorial: Two-sample t-test with Unequal Variances 8

smallest difference that would be considered scientifically important. For the two independent sample Ki-67 example we consider a 20% difference between treatment groups to be biologically important.

## B.2 Inputs for sample size estimation

Sample size estimation for a single mean from paired observations consists of determining the required sample size to achieve a specified level of power for a given difference between the mean change under the stated  $H_0$  and under the stated  $H_1$ , standard deviation of the change and  $\alpha$ -level. By varying these four quantities we can obtain a set of sample size curves that show the tradeoffs.

As with power analysis, information about mean values and variability can be obtained from the published literature. Uncertainty in these estimates should be incorporated into the sample size estimation by either allowing those values to vary over a reasonable range, e.g. from 0.5 times up to twice the standard deviation, or by using sampling variability to obtain confidence intervals for power or sample size. Power can be varied over a desired range of values, and various values of  $\alpha$  can be selected to illustrate the sensitivity of the results to conservative vs. liberal choices for the Type I and Type II error rates.

## Content C: How to use the software

How to perform the analysis in GLIMMPSE

To start GLIMMPSE 2.0 beta, type <u>http://samplesizeshop.com/calculate-power-and-sample-size-now/</u> in your browser window or visit <u>www.SampleSizeShop.com</u> and click on the GLIMMPSE tab, then on GLIMMPSE 2.0.0 Beta is Here! Google Chrome is the suggested browser for this application.

## C.1 Power analysis using Matrix mode

Guided Study Design mode is suggested for most users. However, for the two-sample case with unequal variances, Matrix mode is needed to specify the design appropriately.

### Start Your Study Design

Welcome to GLIMMPSE. The GLIMMPSE software calculates powerand sample size for study designs with normally distributed outcomes. Select one of the options below to begin your power or sample size calculation.

Guided Study Design	Matrix Study Design	Upload a Study Design
Build common study designs including ANOVA, ANCOVA, and regression with guidance from the study design wizard. This mode is designed for applied researchers including physicians, nurses, and other investigators.	Directly enter the matrices for the general linear model. This mode is designed for users with advanced statistical training.	If you have previously saved a study design from GLIMMPSE, you may upload it here. Click browse to select your study design file.
Select	Select	Browse_

Selecting *Matrix Study Design* takes you to the *Introduction* page:

Calculate	Introduction
Start	The GLIMMPSE wizard will guide you through several steps to perform a power or sample size calculation.
Start	
<ul> <li>Solving For</li> </ul>	Use the forward and back arrows to navigate through the wizard, or click on the desired option in the left navigation panel. You may save your work at any time by
V Type I Error	clicking the "Save Design" link at the lower right of the screen. The "Cancel" link,
Design	also at the lower right of the screen, allows you cancel your current work and begin a new study design. The help manual may be accessed by clicking the "Help" link.
Coefficients	
Hypothesis	General steps for a power calculation for the general linear multivariate model are listed on the left hand side of the screen. If you have questions regarding
Variability	terminology, please consult the glossary in the user manual. We will ask you to specify:
Options	<ul> <li>The Type I error rate, α</li> <li>The design essence matrix, Es(X)</li> <li>The between (C) and within-subject (U) contrast matrices</li> <li>The null hypothesis matrix (Θ<sub>0</sub>)</li> <li>Choices for the regression coefficients matrix, B)</li> <li>Choices for error covariance (Σ<sub>e</sub>) and/or predictor covariance matrices (Σ<sub>g</sub>, Σ<sub>y</sub>, Σ<sub>yg</sub>)</li> <li>The statistical test you plan to use and additional display options</li> <li>In GLIMMPSE, terminology referring to "subjects" is used for consistency with statistical tradition. In the context of research on human participants, "subjects" should be interpreted as research participants.</li> <li>Click the forward arrow to begin.</li> </ul>
	📢 🅪 🔍 Help 🐮 Save Design 😕 Cancel

Throughout your use of the GLIMMPSE website, use the blue arrows at the bottom of the screen to move forward and backwards. The pencil symbols beside the screen names on the left indicate required information that has not yet been entered. In the above picture, the pencils appear next to the *Solving For* and the *Type I Error* screen names.

Once the required information is entered, the pencils become green check marks. A red circle with a slash through it indicates that a previous screen needs to be filled out before the screen with the red circle can be accessed. Search for a pencil beside a screen name in the previous tabs to find the screen with missing entries.

Once you have read the information on the *Introduction* screen, click the forward arrow to move to the next screen.

The *Solving For* screen allows you to select Power or Sample Size for your study design. For the purposes of this tutorial, click *Power*. Click the forward arrow to move to the next screen.

Calculate	Would you like to solve for power or sample size?
Start	To begin your calculation, please indicate whether you would like to solve for power or total sample size.
Solving For	If you have a rough idea of the number of research participants you will be able to
Type I Error	recruit, then solving for power may be more beneficial.
Design	If you have fewer restrictions on recruitment and would like to ensure a well-powered study, then solving for sample size is likely to be more useful.
Coefficients	
Hypothesis	Over     Over     Total Sample Size
Variability	
Options	
	📢 🕪 🔍 Help 🎽 Save Design 🔻 Cancel

The *Type I Error* screen allows you to specify the fixed levels of significance for the hypothesis to be tested. Once you have entered your values, click the forward arrow to move to the next screen.

Calculate	Type I Error	
	A Type I error occurs when a scientist declares a difference when none is actually	
Start	present. The Type I error rate is the probability of a Type I error occurring, and is often referred to as $\alpha$ . Type I error rates range from 0 to 1. The most commonly used	4
<ul> <li>Solving For</li> </ul>	values are 0.01, 0.05, and 0.1.	u
V Type I Error	Enter each Type I error value into the text box and click "Add". You may enter up to	5
Design	values. To remove a value, select the value in the list box and click the "Delete" button.	
Coefficients	Type I Error Values: Add Delete	]
lypothesis		
/ariability	0.01	
Options	0.05	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	📢 🕪 🔍 Help 🎽 Save Desi	ign

Read the information on the Design screen and click next to move to the next screen.

On the *Design Essence* screen, enter 1 x 1 for the dimension of the essence matrix, with a value of 1. This represents one sample. In a later screen you will specify that two responses will be measured in the single sample with a correlation of zero between the responses. This will equate to a single response measured in two independent samples.

Calculate	The Design Essence Matrix
Start	In the general linear model, $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{XB} + \mathbf{E}$ , the $\mathbf{X}$ matrix contains predictor and covariate information. For power analysis, please specify a design essence matrix, Es( $\mathbf{X}$ ). The Es( $\mathbf{X}$ ) matrix contains one and only one copy of each unique row in the
Design	full design matrix. This allows separation of the study design information from overall
✓ Design Essence	and relative sample size.
✓ Covariate	Enter the $Es(\mathbf{X})$ matrix below. To change the row dimension of the matrix, enter the
<ul> <li>Smallest Group Size</li> </ul>	updated number of rows in the left-most textbox above the matrix data. To change the column dimension, enter the desired number of columns in the right-most textbox
Coefficients	above the matrix data. Please use a full rank coding for this matrix.
Hypothesis	1 × 1
Variability	1
Options	
	44 N
	M M Help Help Kave Design K Cancel

Since no covariate will be used, skip the Covariate screen.

Use the Sample Size screen to specify the size of the smallest group for your sample size(s). Entering multiple values for the smallest group size allows you to consider a range of total sample sizes.

tart	Enter the number of independent sampling units (participants, clusters) in the smallest group in the study. If your group sizes are equal, the value is the same for
sign	all groups. You may enter multiple values for the smallest group size in order to consider a range of total sample sizes.
esign Essence	Enter one or more sample sizes in the text box below and click "Add". To remove a
Covariate	sample size from the list, highlight it and click the "Delete" button.
Smallest Group Size	Size of the Smallest Group: Add Delete
pefficients	. During Decere
pothesis	5 10
ariability	15
otions	20
	📢 🕪 🔍 Help 🎽 Save Design 样 Cancel

Read the Coefficients screen, and click the forward arrow when you have finished.

The *Beta Coefficients* screen allows you to enter the means of the response variable of interest. In this example, the response variable is the change in Ki-67 in each of the treatment groups, *Resveratrol* and *Placebo*. Enter 2 in the box  $(1 \times 2)$  and then enter the expected mean change in Ki-67 in each of the two groups, 20% and 0%. Click the forward arrow when you have finished entering your response variable means.

Calculate	Regression Coefficients: B Matrix
	The <b>B</b> matrix contains regression coefficients. Specify the values you expect to see
Start	for these coefficients. These values may be determined from pilot data or previous studies. We recommend selecting values which represent scientifically meaningful
Design	differences. At least one of the values in the B matrix should be non-zero.
Coefficients	Otherwise, power will equal the test size.
Beta Coefficients	The number of columns in <b>B</b> indicates the number of outcomes in your study (i.e. the number of columns in <b>Y</b> ). To adjust the number of outcomes in your study, change
Beta Scale Factors	the column dimension in the text box above the matrix data. The number of rows in
Hypothesis	B must equal the number of columns in Es(X), so it cannot be adjusted on this screen.
/ariability	
Options	Enter values for the regression coefficients in the matrix below.
	🖇 🕪 🕒 Help 🎽 Save Design 🔻 Cancel

The true mean difference is uncertain. The *Beta Scale Factors* screen allows you to see how power varies with the assumed difference, so that you can allow it to vary over a reasonable range. Suggested values are from 0.5x to 2x the stated difference, e.g. from 10% to 20% to 40%.

Calculate	Scale Factors for Regression Coefficients
	In power analysis, it is not possible to know the exact values of regression coefficients before the experiment is observed. Scale factors allow you to consider
tart	alternative values for the regression coefficients by scaling the <b>B</b> matrix. For
)esign	example, entering the scale factors 0.5, 1, and 2 would compute power for the B
Coefficients	matrix divided by 2, the <b>B</b> as entered, and the <b>B</b> matrix multiplied by 2.
Beta Coefficients	Enter each scale factor in the text box below and click "Add." To use the <b>B</b> matrix as specified on the previous screen, enter a "1" in the list below.
Beta Scale Factors	
Hypothesis	B Matrix Scale Factors.
/ariability	0.5
Options	
	·

Read the *Hypothesis* screen, then click the forward arrow to move to the *Between Participant Contrast* screen.

The *Between-Subject Contrast: C Matrix* screen allows you to specify the comparison between groups. For this example, the matrix should be a scalar  $(1 \times 1)$  with a value of 1. After entering your known mean values, click the forward arrow.

Calculate	Between-Subject Contrast: C Matrix
	The <b>C</b> matrix defines the contrasts for between-subject effects. The number of rows in the <b>C</b> matrix is at most one fewer than the number of rows in Es( <b>X</b> ). The number
Start	of columns in C must equal the number of columns in B. To ensure conforming
Design	matrices, the number of columns of C cannot be adjusted on this screen.
Coefficients	Enter your between-subject contrast matrix below.
Hypothesis	1 × 1
<ul> <li>Between Participant</li> <li>Contrast</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Within Participant</li> <li>Contrast</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Null Hypothesis Matrix</li> </ul>	
Variability	
Options	
	📢 🅪 🔍 Help 🎽 Save Design 样 Cancel

Read the information on the *Within-Subject Contrast: U matrix* screen. This is the screen where the comparison between the *Resveratrol* and *Placebo* groups will be specified. Enter 1 for the 2 x 1 vector, and then enter [1 -1] to specify that the difference between the groups will be tested. Click the forward arrow to move to the *Null Hypothesis Matrix* screen.

Enter the value of zero for the null hypothesis difference between means.

Calculate	Null Hypotheses: O <sub>0</sub> Matrix		
	For $\Theta$ = CBU, the general linear hypothesis is stated as		
Start	$H_0$ : $\Theta = \Theta_0$ .		
Design			
Coefficients	In most cases, the $\Theta_0$ matrix will contain zeros.		
Hypothesis	The number of rows in $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_0$ must equal the number of rows in $\boldsymbol{C}$ , and the number of		
<ul> <li>Between Participant</li> <li>Contrast</li> </ul>	columns must match the number of columns in ${\bf U}.$ To ensure conforming matrices, the dimensions of ${\bf \Theta}_0$ cannot be adjusted on this screen.		
<ul> <li>✓ Within Participant Contrast</li> </ul>	Enter your $\Theta_0$ matrix below.		
✓ Null Hypothesis Matrix			
Variability	0		
Options			
	📢 🕪 🦳 Save Design 🙁 Cancel		

Read the information on the Variability screen, then click the forward arrow to continue.

The *Error Covariance* screen allows you to specify the expected variability in terms of standard deviation of the outcome variable, Ki-67. For this example, since the variances are assumed to be unequal, a standard deviation can be entered separately for the Resveratrol and Placebo groups. Enter 14% and 20% as the diagonal elements of the 2x2 matrix, with a covariance of zero since the groups are independent. Click the forward arrow to move to the next screen.

Calculate	Covariance of Errors: Σ <sub>e</sub> Matrix
Start Design	For each independent sampling unit, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{e}$ is the covariance of the random errors. For univariate designs, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{e}$ will be a 1x1 matrix containing the variance of the error term. More complex structures may be entered for multivariate or repeated measures designs. Values for $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{e}$ are typically obtained from pilot data or previous studies.
Coefficients Hypothesis	$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{e}$ is a square, symmetric matrix with dimensions equal to the number of columns in $\boldsymbol{B}_{.}$
Variability  Covariance  Sigma Scale Factors  Options	Enter values for $\Sigma_e$ in matrix below. 2 $\times$ 2 20 0 0 14
	Help 🗄 Save Design 样 Cancel

The true variability in Ki-67 is also uncertain. To see how power varies with the assumed standard deviations, you can allow the standard deviations to vary over a reasonable range. Suggested values are from 0.5x to 2x the stated values of standard deviation, e.g. from 10% to 20% to 40% in the *Resveratrol* group.

Read the information on the Options screen, then click the forward arrow to continue.

For the test of two independent means, the available tests in GLIMMPSE yield equivalent results. Click on any one of the tests and then click the forward arrow to continue.

Calculate	Statistical Tests
Start	Select the statistical tests to include in your calculations. For study designs with a single outcome, power is the same regardless of the test selected.
Design	Note that only the Hotelling-Lawley Trace and the Univariate Approach to Repeated Measures are supported for designs which include a baseline covariate.
Coefficients	Hotelling-Lawley Trace
Hypothesis	Pillai-Bartlett Trace
Variability	Wilks Likelihood Ratio
Options	Univariate Approach to Repeated Measures with Box Correction
✓ Statistical Test	Univariate Approach to Repeated Measures with Geisser-Greenhouse Correction           Univariate Approach to Repeated Measures with Huynh-Feldt Correction
<ul> <li>Confidence Intervals</li> </ul>	✓ Univariate Approach to Repeated Measures, uncorrected
<ul> <li>Power Curve</li> </ul>	
	📢 🕪 🔍 Help 🎽 Save Design 🔻 Cancel

Leave the box checked in the *Confidence Interval Options* screen, and click forward to continue to the next screen.

Power analysis results are best displayed on a graph. To obtain a plot, first uncheck No thanks on the *Power Curve Options* screen.

Start	You may optionally create a power curve image for your results by unchecking this checkbox. Then select the values you would like to display on the power curve by
	selecting the appropriate options below.
esign	✓I do not want to create a power curve.
oefficients	
ypothesis	
/ariability	
Options	
' Statistical Test	
Confidence Intervals	
Power Curve	
	📢 🕪 🕓 Cancel

From the pull down menu that appears once you have unchecked the box, select the variable to be used as the horizontal axis (e.g. *Total sample size* or *Variability Scale Factor*). GLIMMPSE will produce one power plot based on specific levels of the input variables that you specify on this page. If you specified more than one value for an input variable, choose the specific level you want GLIMMPSE to use to plot the power cu

After you have made your inputs, click *Add* to populate the screen, then click the forward arrow to see your results.

Calculate	Power Curve Options
Start	You may optionally create a power curve image for your results by unchecking this checkbox. Then select the values you would like to display on the power curve by selecting the appropriate options below.
Design	I do not want to create a power curve.
Coefficients	1. Select the quantity to display on the horizontal axis of the power curve (the vertical axis will display the power value).
Hypothesis	2. Add data series to the plot. Select values for each variable below. Click add to include sample size values matching
Variability	these criteria as a data series on the plot. To remove a data series, highlight it in the list box and click "Remove data series".
Options	Regression Coefficient Scale 0.5
<ul> <li>Statistical Test</li> </ul>	Variability Scale Factor 0.5
<ul> <li>Confidence Intervals</li> </ul>	Statistical Test Univariate Approach to Repeated Measures, uncorrected
✓ Power Curve	Type I Error 0.01
	Data Series Label Tutorial 4
	Add Delete
	Tutorial 4: Test=Univariate Approach to Repeated Measures, uncorrected Regr. Scale=1 Var.
	📢 🕪 🕒 Help 🐮 Save Design 🔻 Cancel

The power curve and table results for these inputs are shown in Section D below.

## C.2 Sample size estimation with GLIMMPSE

To start, click on *Total Sample Size* in the *Solving For* screen. Click on the forward arrow to move to the next screen.

Calculate	Would you like to solve for power or sample size?
Start	To begin your calculation, please indicate whether you would like to solve for power or total sample size.
<ul> <li>✓ Solving For</li> <li>✓ Desired Power</li> <li>✓ Type I Error</li> </ul>	If you have a rough idea of the number of research participants you will be able to recruit, then solving for power may be more beneficial. If you have fewer restrictions on recruitment and would like to ensure a well-powered study, then solving for sample size is likely to be more useful. Power Total Sample Size
Design Coefficients Hypothesis Variability Options	
Options	
	📢 🕪 🕒 Help 🎽 Save Design 🙁 Cancel

Enter the values for desired power, then click the forward arrow to move to the next screen.

Calculate	Power Values
Start	Enter the desired power values in the list box below. Power values are numbers between 0 and 1. Higher values correspond to a greater likelihood of rejecting the null hypothesis. Common values are 0.8 or 0.9, although 0.9 or higher is usually
<ul> <li>Solving For</li> </ul>	preferred.
✓ Desired Power	Type each value into the list box and click "Add". To remove an item, highlight the value and click the "Delete" button.
✓ Type I Error	value and click the Delete Bullon.
Design	Power Values: Add Delete
Coefficients	
Hypothesis	0.8
Variability	0.95
Options	Ψ
	📢 🕪 🔍 Help 🏪 Save Design 🔻 Cancel

The remaining screens are filled in as above for power.

## **Content D: Interpret the results**

## Power analysis interpretation

For the power analysis inputs in Guided Study Design Mode (Section C.1), GLIMMPSE produces a curve showing the relationship between achievable power, mean difference (beta scale), standard deviation (variability scale), and fixed values of total sample size and level of significance. A complete downloadable table of results in Excel.csv format is also produced. The plot below shows achievable power over a range of standard deviations of change in Ki-67 with an  $\alpha$ -level of 0.05 (two-sided) and a sample size of 30.

It can be observed in the graph below that above a certain level of variability, power is less sensitive to changes in specified values. The sensitivity of power to assumptions within a certain range of values has been called the "slippery slope of power". In order to avoid the slippery slope, it is best to assume a large amount of variability and/or a large difference between null and alternative means.



## Sample size estimation interpretation

For the sample size estimation inputs in Guided Study Design mode above, GLIMMPSE produces a downloadable table showing the relationship between required sample size, desired power, level of significance, variability, and difference between null and alternative hypothesis means. This can be saved in Excel.csv format and plotted.

## **Content E: References cited**

List of matrices used in calculation:

 $\mathbf{Es}(\mathbf{X}) = [1.0000]$  $\mathbf{B} = [20.0000 \ 0.0000]$  $\mathbf{C} = [1.0000]$  $\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.0000\\ -1.0000 \end{bmatrix}$  $\mathbf{\Theta}_0 = [0.0000]$  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_E = \begin{bmatrix} 20.0000 & 0.0000\\ 0.0000 & 14.0000 \end{bmatrix}$ 

For the independent sample t-test, GLIMMPSE works with the matrices listed above in making the computations. Since two means are being tested the Essence matrix is of dimension 2x2. The  $\Theta_0$  matrix represents the mean under H<sub>0</sub> and the  $\Sigma_e$  matrix represents the between-subject variance.

## References

DiSantostefano, R.L. and Muller, K.E. (1995). A Comparison of Power Approximations for Satterthwaite's Test. *Communications in Statistics – Simulation and Computation*, 24:583–593.

Muller KE, LaVange LM, Ramey SL, Ramey CT (1992). Power Calculations for General Linear Multivariate Models Including Repeated Measures Applications. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 87:1209-1226.

Muller, K. E., Edwards, L. J., Simpson, S. L., & Taylor, D. J. (2007). Statistical tests with accurate size and power for balanced linear mixed models. *Statistics in Medicine*, 26:3639–3660.

## Content F: Exhibits, tables, figures

## Ki-67 staining



#### Figure 2

Immunohistochemical detection in primary pretreatment biopsies of: (A) a low percentage (17%) of Ki-67-positive nuclear staining; (B) a high percentage (93%) of Ki-67-positive nuclear staining.

From: Br J Cancer. 2008 October 7; 99(7): 1121–1128. Published online 2008 September 2. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6604633

Copyright/LicenseRequest permission to reuse